



Wes Moore  
Governor

Manervia W. Riddick  
Acting Executive  
Director

.....  
Members

Craig A. Thompson  
Chairman

Leonard J. Attman  
Joseph C. Bryce  
William H. Cole, IV  
Lee Coplan  
Artis G.

Hampshire-Cowan  
John P. Hussman, PhD  
Adam Kane  
Faith Leach  
Maggie McIntosh  
Manervia W. Riddick

Capital Projects Development  
The Warehouse at Camden Yards  
351 W. Camden Street, Suite 300  
Baltimore, MD 21201  
410-223-4150  
1-877-MDSTADIUM  
Fax: 410-333-1888

cpdginfo@mdstad.com  
www.mdstad.com

Voice: 800-201-7165  
TTY: 800-735-2258

**Addendum No. 4**

**To Offerors: Request for Proposals  
Pre-Construction Management Services  
Regency Furniture Stadium Improvements**

**Date Issued: August 10, 2026**

.....  
This addendum is hereby made part of the Request for Proposals ("RFP") dated July 22, 2026, as amended, on the subject work as though originally included therein. Please read this addendum carefully, and in its entirety. The following amendments, additions, and/or corrections shall govern this solicitation.

1. A copy of the questions submitted along with their respective answers, is attached hereto.

**Note: Offeror must acknowledge all addenda in its Technical Proposal.**

PS

for

Christian Kramer  
Procurement Officer

**Request for Proposals  
Preconstruction Management Services  
Regency Furniture Stadium Improvements  
Questions & Answers  
Addendum No. 4**

**Action Item**

|    | <b>Question</b>                                                                                                                                                                                          | <b>Answer</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. | The Financial Proposal (Attachment F) includes multiple tabs. Please indicate which tabs are required with the Financial Proposal submission.                                                            | All tabs are required.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| 2. | Addendum No. 2 provided an estimated schedule; however, it did not provide any anticipated permit dates. Please provide anticipated date for MDE permit.                                                 | We are currently holding April 2027 as the anticipated MDE permit approval. However, this is subject to change based on the review process.                                                                                                             |
| 3. | Have all existing underground utility locations been verified through recent investigation, or should additional subsurface utility engineering (SUE) services be anticipated during design development? | Existing underground utility locations is performed through the design team. The CM will be responsible for field verification prior to commencing any field work.                                                                                      |
| 4. | Does MSA anticipate any supplemental geotechnical exploration prior to Design Development to further evaluate existing fill conditions and reduce foundation related contingencies?                      | Existing geotechnical exploration has been performed through the design team. Please reference the report include in the 100% SD narrative issued in Addendum No. 3. The design team anticipates sharing additional geotechnical reports in the future. |
| 5. | Has the design team identified a preferred turf manufacturer or approval process for evaluating turf systems during design development?                                                                  | No. This is still being analyzed however a preliminary turf analysis is included in the 100% SD narrative issued in Addendum No. 3.                                                                                                                     |

|    |                                                                                                                                    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 6. | Does MSA anticipate requesting a formal long-lead procurement matrix as part of the selected CM's preconstruction deliverables?    | Confirmed.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| 7. | Please confirm our general conditions should be based on a June 1, 2027 construction NTP and April 1, 2028 substantial completion. | The anticipated project schedule issued in Addendum No. 2 was issued without CM input and as an estimated basis. Section 4.2.6.C of the RFP requests the Offeror to submit a CPM schedule for all phases based on the Scope of Services. The Offeror's General Conditions should be based on the durations they provide in their proposal. |
| 8. | Should Offerors expect any adjustment to the overall submission deadline based on the site visit postponement?                     | No.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |

End of Q&A