



Wes Moore
Governor

Michael J. Frenz
Executive Director

Members

.....
Craig A. Thompson
Chairman

Leonard J. Attman
Joseph C. Bryce
William H. Cole, IV

Lee Coplan
Artis G.

Hampshire-Cowan
John P. Hussman, PhD
Maggie McIntosh
Manervia W. Riddick
Jodi C. Staloni
Justin A. Williams

Addendum No. 1

To Offerors: **Request for Qualifications
Program Management Services
Built to Learn Act**

Date Issued: **March 24, 2025**

This addendum is hereby made part of the Request for Qualifications (“RFQ”) dated March 11, 2025, on the subject work as though originally included therein. The following amendments, additions, and/or corrections shall govern this solicitation.

This addendum incorporates the following items:

1. A copy of the questions submitted regarding this RFQ, along with their respective answers, is attached hereto.

Note: All addenda must be acknowledged by the Offeror in the Statement of Qualifications.

PS

Paige Stinnett
Procurement Officer

End of Addendum 1

Capital Projects Development
The Warehouse at Camden Yards
351 W. Camden Street, Suite 300
Baltimore, MD 21201
410-223-4150
1-877-MDSTADIUM
Fax: 410-333-1888

cpdginfo@mdstad.com
www.mdstad.com

Voice: 800-201-7165
TTY: 800-735-2258

**Request for Qualifications
Program Management Services
Questions & Answers
Addendum No. 1**

Action Item

	Question	Answer
1.	The qualifications call for estimating and scheduling services. Can an independent estimating firm submit on this RFQ, or should they team up with an AE firm?	Estimating is to be provided under the selected Program Manager contract.
2.	Who is responsible for providing estimating and scheduling?	The Program Manager, or a consultant as part of the selected Program Manager team.
3.	Do teams need to be fully developed at this stage or could prime vendors propose subconsultants or teaming partners during the RFP process?	Teams may be developed during the RFP process for the shortlisted offerors.
4.	Can MSA elaborate on which tasks are considered core versus ancillary (e.g., estimating vs. enhanced commissioning)?	The criteria listed in Section C of the RFQ are the core task areas of the RFQ.
5.	Will task orders be structured by discipline, by project, or bundled across functional areas?	Potentially all three, dependent on the scope needed.
6.	Can MSA clarify the level of responsibility expected under “enhanced commissioning”? Is the Program Manager responsible for hands-on commissioning or only oversight/coordination of third-party commissioning agents?	Enhanced Commissioning (Cx) shall be what is required to meet the LEED certifications (Silver or better), as well as post occupancy performance verifications. The successful offeror shall have experience in the Enhanced Cx process, and will be responsible for managing the processes either in house or via their consultant team(s).

7.	Will the construction and renovation projects be delivered in phases, and if so, what is the anticipated sequencing or phasing timeline?	The construction projects will be delivered as they are approved, and the timeline of specific approvals and agreement are unknown at this point.
8.	Will there be minimum key personnel roles required (e.g., Program Manager, Scheduler, Estimator, Procurement Lead), and are resumes required during the RFP stage?	No. See Section C of the RFQ for the requirements needed to meet the qualifications by the offeror(s).
9.	Will this contract be structured as a staff augmentation engagement?	Staff augmentation will be an option as part of the overall Program Management scope of services.
10.	Is this procurement being issued as a multiple-award IDIQ contract or a single-award contract?	Single award contract.
11.	What is the anticipated duration of this project?	5 years, with a performance option to exercise 2, 2 year contract extensions (potential 9 years total).