



Wes Moore  
Governor

Michael J. Frenz  
Executive Director

Members

.....  
Craig A. Thompson  
Chairman

Leonard J. Attman  
Joseph C. Bryce  
William H. Cole, IV  
Lee Coplan  
Artis G.

Hampshire-Cowan  
Maggie McIntosh  
Manervia W. Riddick  
Jodi C. Staloni  
Justin A. Williams

**Addendum No. 2**  
**To Offerors: Request for Proposals**  
**Architectural/Engineering Services**  
**MLS NEXT Pro Multi-Use Soccer Stadium**  
**Preliminary Design**

**Date Issued: April 2, 2024**

---

This addendum is hereby made part of the Request for Proposals dated March 12, 2024, as amended, on the subject work as though originally included therein. The following amendments, additions, and/or corrections shall govern this solicitation.

This addendum incorporates the following items:

1. A copy of the questions submitted along with their respective answers is attached hereto.
2. Sections 4.3.d(1)(c) and 4.3.d(1)(d) are hereby revised to include the following items below:

c.) Resumes of Key Personnel (Attachment E, SF330, Section E):

- i. At a minimum, submit resumes for each of the major disciplines identified below. Each resume should include the years of experience the individual has had relative to the Scope of Work set forth in this solicitation.

1. Principal in Charge
2. Project Manager
3. Project Designer
4. Civil Engineer
5. Structural Engineer
6. Geotechnical Engineer
7. Mechanical Engineer
8. Electrical Engineer
9. Plumbing Engineer
10. Fire Protection Engineer
11. Athletic Facility Event/Space Planning Consultant
12. Athletic Playing Surface Specialist or Consultant
13. Landscape Architect
14. Telecommunications/IT Consultant
15. LEED Consultant

Capital Projects Development  
The Warehouse at Camden Yards  
351 W. Camden Street, Suite 300  
Baltimore, MD 21201  
410-223-4150  
1-877-MDSTADIUM  
Fax: 410-333-1888

cpdginfo@mdstad.com  
www.mdstad.com

16. Other Key Personnel the Offeror May  
Deem Appropriate

d.) Example Projects: (Attachment E, SF330, Section F)

- ii. Four (4) projects for the A/E; four (4) projects for the Athletic facility event/space planning consultant; four (4) projects for the athletic playing surface specialist or consultant; two (2) projects for the civil engineer; two (2) projects for the structural engineer; one (1) project for the geotechnical engineer; one (1) project for the mechanical engineer and one (1) project for the electrical engineer.

**Note: All addenda must be acknowledged by the Offeror in the Technical Proposal.**



Yamillette Waite  
Procurement Officer

End of Addendum No. 2

**Request for Proposals  
 Architectural/Engineering Services  
 MLS NEXT Pro Multi-Use Soccer Stadium Preliminary Design  
 Questions & Answers  
 Addendum No. 2**

**Action Item**

	<b>Question</b>	<b>Answer</b>
1.	Do you have a construction budget range for the project that you can share?	No.
2.	Do you have a total project cost range with contingencies that you can share?	No.
3.	Are the fields (stadium & practice) intended to be natural grass or artificial turf?	To be determined during due diligence of the preliminary design.
4.	The Phase 1 report states that future stadium expansion should be taken into consideration. Does that expansion mean enlarging the stadium from a 7,500-seat stadium to a 10,000 seat stadium or is there additional expansion that should be accounted for?	To be determined during due diligence of the preliminary design.
5.	If an Engineering Firm is the Geotechnical Engineer-of-Record, would this preclude the Engineering Firm from bidding and performing the future Testing and Inspections Contract that would be implemented during Construction with the Maryland Stadium Authority?	No.
6.	Why were the Reedbird Park Site(s) and the UMBC Site(s)	Stakeholders did not choose those sites for the preliminary design effort.

	eliminated from the preliminary design phase?	
7.	Does the city have a preference over the selected site(s) chosen for the preliminary design phase (Swann Park or Carroll Park)?	No.
8.	Will the design and construction guaranteed maximum price for the stadium be shared with the selected A/E preliminary design team?	Yes.
9.	Is there an anticipated construction completion schedule for the stadium? If so, will that be shared with the selected A/E design team?	No.
10.	On page 26 section d.i. Project Experience, it asks to identify four (4) projects as part of Attachment E, SF330 forms. Is this 4 projects total, 4 projects for each firm/subcontractor, or is it asking that 4 out of 10 projects show specific experience/qualifications in preliminary design?	Refer to item #2 in Addendum No. 2 for clarification.
11.	Would a joint venture between an MDOT certified architectural practice and a non MDOT certified prime architectural practice be more favorable in the selection process?	The evaluation criteria and selection procedure is provided in section 5 of the RFP.
12.	Does MSA have any traffic data, including counts, analyses, projections, trip generations, etc?	No.

13.	Any concept plans available?	No.
14.	Please confirm that both sites are to be developed to the preliminary design phase.	Yes, both sites are to be developed in the preliminary design phase.
15.	Is the MBE goal specific to the preliminary design scope or full design?	This solicitation is for preliminary design services. The MBE goals are for preliminary design services. MSA will reevaluate the goals once we are ready to enter the design phase of the Project.
16.	Will a detailed program for the new stadium be provided?	No.
17.	Are firms selected for the pre design precluded from the stadium design?	Refer to Section 1.1 of the RFP.
18.	Is the team from the economic study precluded from submitting on this proposal?	No.
19.	Will topographic and utility surveys be provided to the selected firm?	No.
20.	Can the prime firms be identified in the attendee's list?	Refer to Addendum No. 1.
21.	Is there a budget set aside for the preliminary design scope effort?	No.
22.	Is the pre design to include the possibly related ITCOM AV broadcast etc.?	Refer to Section 3.3 of the RFP.

23.	Does the pre design phase include telecommunications infrastructure e.g. fiber, access points, telecommunications closet?	Refer to Section 3.3 of the RFP.
24.	Is mixed-use development an element of site planning?	The scope is as defined in the RFP.
25.	Is the economic benefit to the state of Maryland per the physical location of the project design team members/firms an evaluation/award criteria?	The evaluation criteria and selection procedure is provided in section 5 of the RFP.
26.	Is a Traffic Impact Study expected as part of the Preliminary Engineering?	Refer to Section 3.3 of the RFP.
27.	Please confirm that project examples for experience are limited to 4 projects and there is no requirement for any particular consultant other than the prime firm.	Refer to item #2 in Addendum No. 2 for clarification.