



Wes Moore  
Governor

Michael J. Frenz  
Executive Director

Members

.....  
Craig A. Thompson  
Chairman

Leonard J. Attman  
Joseph C. Bryce  
William H. Cole, IV  
Lee Coplan  
Gary L. Mangum  
Maggie McIntosh  
Manervia W. Riddick  
Jodi C. Stanalonis

Capital Projects Development  
The Warehouse at Camden Yards  
351 W. Camden Street, Suite 300  
Baltimore, MD 21201  
410-223-4150  
1-877-MDSTADIUM  
Fax: 410-333-1888

cpdginfo@mdstad.com  
www.mdstad.com

Voice: 800-201-7165  
TTY: 800-735-2258

## Addendum No. 2

**To Offerors: Request for Proposals  
Design-Build Services  
Harry Grove Stadium Improvements**

**Date Issued: August 1, 2023**

---

This addendum is hereby made part of the Request for Proposals dated July 12, 2023, on the subject work, as amended, as though originally included therein. The following amendments, additions, and/or corrections shall govern this solicitation.

This addendum incorporates the following items:

1. A copy of the questions submitted, along with their respective answers, is attached hereto.
2. A revised copy of Attachment J, Sample Agreement, which includes updated copies of Exhibits K, M, N, and O is available via the Sharefile link.
3. The due date for technical and financial proposals has been extended. Proposals are now due on **August 28, 2023 at 1 p.m.**
4. The date for oral presentations has been revised. Oral presentations will now be held **September 25-26, 2023.**
5. The revised anticipated award for this project is November 2023.
6. All revised attachments are available via the RFP attachments link:  
<https://mdstad.sharefile.com/d-s9647751667164d61b9aa21221af27e22>

**Note: All addenda must be acknowledged by the Offeror in the Technical Proposal.**

---

Natasha Speaks  
Procurement Officer  
**End of Addendum 2**

**Request for Proposals  
Design-Build Services  
Harry Grove Stadium Improvements  
Questions & Answers**

**Addendum No. 2**

**Action Item**

	<b>Question</b>	<b>Answer</b>
1.	The bond forms on Pages 257-264 of the RFP reference “Prince George’s Stadium Improvements.” Please confirm whether these forms will be reissued to replace that separate project name with the current project name: “Harry Grove Stadium Improvements.” In addition, there is no mention of a bid bond requirement in the RFP. Please confirm no bid bond is required.	For submission purposes, <i>Exhibits K, M, N, and O</i> have been updated and are included in a revised copy of Attachment J, Sample Agreement, issued via Addendum No. 2. Project-specific references in Attachment J will be updated at the time of award. Bid bonds are not required.
2.	Would MSA consider an extension of the due date for this RFP by 2-3 weeks to possibly afford the opportunity for an additional response?	Information regarding the approved extension has been communicated via Addendum No.2.
3.	Is this project required to meet the Maryland High-Performance Buildings Act requirements for LEED Silver or equivalent?	The project should be designed to LEED Silver standards. However, a formal certification process will not be pursued.
4.	On pg. 25 of the RFP, under 2.a. Corporate Experience, please clarify if the submission should include 5 projects from the Design Build Contractor and 5 projects from the Design Firm, to total 10 projects. Or is the request for 5 projects in total for the Design Build Contractor and the Design Firm, collectively? Also, does “Design Firm” refer to the Design Team or only the Prime AE?	The intent is for the team to submit 5 projects from the Design-Build Prime and 5 projects from the Design-Build design team. The term Design Firm refers to the Prime AE.
5.	On the 7/25/23 site walk, there was mention that an updated PDL requirements specific to this stadium will be shared to advise what items do not meet the Standards. Please provide.	Attachment C of the RFP, Minimum Project Design & Construction Requirements, outlines the minimum standards for this RFP. A listing of the current known PDL deficiencies has been issued via Addendum No.1 as Attachment O of the RFP.

6.	Please advise on the anticipated NTP for preconstruction and construction as well as the anticipated Substantial Completion date.	The NTP for preconstruction is November. The Offeror shall provide a conceptual CPM schedule, per Section 4.3 of the RFP, which will determine Construction NTP and Substantial Completion date.
7.	With the anticipated interview dates of 8/31 and 9/1, there may be scheduling conflicts as it is before Labor Day weekend. Please advise if updated interview dates will be contemplated and shared.	Revised oral presentation dates have been communicated via Addendum No.2.
8.	Please advise if the design firm is to provide the following forms: (a) Attachment E Corporate Profile and References (b) Attachment G Staffing Plan (c) Attachment A Bid/Proposal Affidavit (d) Attachment B Conflict of Interest Information/Affidavit and Disclosure (e) Attachment L Corporate Diversity Affidavit and (f) Attachment N Capacity Summary Sheet.	Regarding Attachment E, G, A, B, and L, the Design-Build prime shall provide these forms. If the Design-Build prime is a joint venture, each firm composing the joint venture shall submit these forms. When submitting Attachment L, key personnel representing the Design Firm and any subconsultants should be included in the form.
9.	Please advise if relevant projects required under Corporate Experience can include projects from our design subconsultants.	MSA is seeking demonstration of the Design-Build Contractor's experience.
10.	Please confirm that the D/B key personnel (PX, PM, Project Supt., Cost Estimator, and Scheduler) are to be provided on SF 330 forms per Section d.3.e Key Personnel Experience and Past Performance and that separate resumes are not required.	Confirmed.
11.	Should FFE be part of the package for both design phase and construction phase (procurement)?	Yes. However, it is not included in the GMP cost at this time. MSA will establish an FFE allowance at a later date.
12.	With the complexity of the project, will MSA consider extending the response due date?	Yes. Information regarding the approved extension has been communicated via Addendum No.2.

13.	Please reference Exhibit I 2.0 e. and advise if the Lead Scheduler is required on a full-time basis during the construction phase.	The requirement that the Lead Scheduler be onsite 100% of the time during the construction phase is waived. The Lead Scheduler will be required to be onsite to attend all progress meetings and invoice generation/review meetings. The Design Build Contractor is responsible for ensuring the adequate level of commitment from the Lead Scheduler to ensure the deliverable requirements of the Agreement are met.
14.	Please reference Exhibit I 2.0 e. and advise if the Lead Scheduler is required to attend all Preconstruction or Construction Meetings or both.	See #13, above. The Lead Scheduler is required to be present at all preconstruction and construction phase progress meetings.
15.	In reference to Attachment C, does the City of Frederick and the Teams have a priority as to which items they would like to have compliant?	The stadium must be fully compliant with the minimum PDL Standards. Attachment C, Minimum Project Design & Construction Requirements, identifies preferred options that exceed the minimum standards.
16.	Please confirm if any field work from Foul Line to Foul Line is a part of this scope (to be included in the NTE \$17.5M GMP), or is on the Owner/LL.	No. Improvement to the playing field (from foul line to foul line) is not part of the RFP scope of work.
17.	Please confirm that the upgrades to the HR Wall in the outfield is to be considered for this scope of work (NTE \$17.5M GMP), or is on the Owner/LL	Ensuring compliance of the home run wall is part of the scope of this project.
18.	Is there a Utility Map/Plan available for the stadium?	Stadium As-Built documents were included for reference purposes only as Attachment P of the RFP.
19.	Given that there is not an affiliated team playing in the stadium and PDL Compliant deadlines may not be enforced, is there a target date of when the potential work should be completed by?	The target completion timeframe is prior to the opening of the 2025 season. Any challenges meeting this schedule should be communicated in the proposal response.